

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/608,864	06/27/2003	Jee Fung Pang	30835/302623	8199
	7590 11/13/200 GERSTEIN & BORUN	EXAMINER		
233 SOUTH W	WACKER DRIVE TOWER	· === (MIRZA, ADNAN M	
6300 SEARS T CHICAGO, IL			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
emerico, in			2145	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

_	Application No.	Applicant(s)	\subset
	10/608,864	PANG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Adnan M. Mirza	2145	
	1	1	

	Adnan M. Mirza	2145	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence ado	iress
THE REPLY FILED <u>10/19/2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLI			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice ving replies: (1) an amendment, tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) to with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply	of Appeal. To avoid aba affidavit, or other evider in compliance with 37 C	nce, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) he period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set for ater than SIX MONTHS from the ma (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN 06.07(f).	ailing date of the final reject THE FIRST REPLY WAS F	tion. FILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amo shortened statutory period for reply r than three months after the mailing	unt of the fee. The approportion originally set in the final Off	riate extension fee fice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of tl	hs of the date of he appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see bw);	NOTE below);	
(c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) ☑ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally		, the issues for
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)):		
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		will be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-27</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	A STATE OF STREET	- Ni-time of Amendal will m	et be entered
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	id sufficient reasons why the aff	idavit or other evidence	is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under a _l ry and was not earlier presented	ppeal and/or appellant fa d. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered be See Continuation Sheet.		on in condition for allowa	ance because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	-	•
13. [] Outer		//L	_
		JASON CARDONE	
	SUPER	IVISORY PATENT EXA	AMINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: 1,4-10,12-13,17-27 have been amended that would require further consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argued that Levine did not disclose, "an embodiment where the elements of performance counter consumer application processes and performance counter provider application process are implemented at the software application level. As to applicant's argument Levine disclosed, "The selected performance monitoring includes reconstructing a relationship among events, identifying false triggering, identifying bottlenecks, monitoring stalls, monitoring idles, determining the efficiency of operation of a dispatch unit, determining the efficiency of operation of a dispatch unit, determining the effectiveness of branch unit operations, determining a performance penalty of misaligned data accesses, identifying a frequency of execution of serialization instructions, identifying inhibited interrupts, and applying Little's law to identify efficiency (col. 9, lines 33-42).